

Name	Consultee Type	Date	Summary of Representation	In Favour	Against	Islington/Hackney/Haringey/General	Council Response
Sonja Rose	Individual/worker	16/05/18	Supports the Neighbourhood Area and Forum. A Neighbourhood Plan would benefit the area and provide greater community involvement in the area. Key issues to be addressed through the NP would be: development of Finsbury Park station and surrounding commerce; traffic flow at the junction of Seven Sisters Road, Stroud Green Road and Blackstock Road; commercial cleaning; access and security to Finsbury Park; ongoing help for homeless people.	x forum and area		General	Support is noted. The key issues to be addressed in the Neighbourhood Plan will be borne out of forum discussions and community engagement to firstly establish visions and objectives of the plan.
Andree Gregory	Highways England	16/05/18	Having examined the consultation documents there are no comments to make.	n/a	n/a	General	Noted.
Hannah Lorna Bevins	National Grid	16/05/18	National Grid have five underground cables within the proposed Neighbourhood Area. Whilst there is no implications for National Grid Gas Distribution Intermediate/High Pressure apparatus there may be Low Pressure/Medium Pressure Gas Distribution pipes present within proposed development sites.	n/a	n/a	General	Information regarding National Grid infrastructure is noted.
Michael Anderson	Individual/resident	20/05/18	Objects to the inclusion on Finsbury Park park in the proposed neighbourhood area because it would mean people living almost a kilometre away on Hornsey Road would have a greater influence over the park's development than those living closer on Endymion Road or Rowley Gardens Estate. The proposal goes against neighbourhood planning principles of not cutting up neighbourhood or administrative areas. Finsbury Park is wholly within Haringey which is not part of the proposed forum. It is unacceptable that an unelected and unrepresentative organisation is calling the shots when defining the geographical extent of the proposed forum.		x for area	Haringey	Representation to be considered by LB Haringey. The outcome of LB Haringey's consideration of this representation (e.g. amended boundary to include or remove the park) will not affect Islington's consideration of its part of the Neighbourhood Area.
Hugh Flouch	Individual/ resident	23/05/18	Supports the Neighbourhood Forum. Consultation exercises carried out by the group in residential areas was good and the opinions of people living to the north and east of the park were listened to. However, people's views in respect to the inclusion of the park itself were not taken into account. Strongly objects to the Neighbourhood Area as a clear majority of those living to the north and east of the park do not feel part of the Finsbury Park area but identify strongly with the park itself. The Neighbourhood Plan will have an explicit role in the development of Haringey's Local Plan. If the park is included in the boundary it would give residents on one side of the park undue influence over it. The current proposal does not reflect democratic principles and the Council is urged to ask the group to reconsider the boundary and exclude the park.	x for forum	x for area	Haringey	Representation to be considered by LB Haringey. The outcome of LB Haringey's consideration of this representation (e.g. amended boundary to include or remove the park) will not affect Islington's consideration of its part of the Neighbourhood Area.

Gavin Ball	Individual/resident	24/05/18	Objects to the inclusion on Finsbury Park park in the proposed neighbourhood area. The park is a resource for many people across the three boroughs and residents to the east of the park, outside the neighbourhood area will have less influence over the park than people on the west. Concerned about an unbalanced policy position where Stroud Green residents priorities are placed above those of Haringey and Manor House. There is a higher population density forecast for the east of the park and while the political organisation might not be as sophisticated the utility the park offers to those residents is greater that those in Stroud Green. It would be similarly inappropriate to include the park in any future Haringey or Manor House Neighbourhood Area and it is recommended the park remains under Local Authority control as befits its role as a local and sub-regional open space. Reference should be made to the Open Space Accessibility Study carried out by LB Haringey and the Haringey Site Allocation: SA29 Arena Retail Park which seeks to ensure as part of any redevelopment of that site an improved access to the Park from the north-east.		x for area	Haringey	Representation to be considered by LB Haringey. The outcome of LB Haringey's consideration of this representation (e.g. amended boundary to include or remove the park) will not affect islington's consideration oif its part of the Neighbourhood Area.
Sharon Jenkins	Natural England	25/05/18	Policy proposals should be in line with the National Planning Policy Framework and the key principles in concerning the natural and local environment in paragraph 109. Consideration of natural environment policies in the Local Plan should be made and there may be potential to provide more detail as to how policies are applied. Information is provided in the consultation submission relating to things to consider when making a neighbourhood plan such as information sources; environmental designations such as AONB's and Local Wildlife Sites; natural environment issues to consider such as habitats and protected species; ways to improve the natural environment such as greening roofs and creating ponds.	n/a	n/a	General	All Neighbourhood Plan policies must have regard to national policy and be in general conformity with the Local Plan. This includes national and local environmental policies.
Colin Barron	Individual/resident	25/05/18	Was not aware of previous consultations which included agreeing to the neighbourhood area and boundary. Respondent lives on Alroy Road adjacent to the boundary. Would like to have been included in the boundary along with all streets surrounding Finsbury Park itself. Residential streets would benefit from a neighbourhood plan, for example conservation area status. A natural boundary might have included the whole of the N4 postcode.		x for area	Haringey	Representation to be considered by LB Haringey. The outcome of LB Haringey's consideration of this representation (e.g. amended boundary to include or remove the park) will not affect islington's consideration oif its part of the Neighbourhood Area.

Ant Elder	Individual/resident	25/05/18	Lives in Haringey ward and does not like the inclusion of Finsbury Park in the boundary. There was no consultation with residents in Haringey about including the park. The working group asked if Haringey residents wanted to be included which most people responded no. Respondent likes and uses Finsbury Park a lot.		x for area	Haringey	Representation to be considered by LB Haringey. The outcome of LB Haringey's consideration of this representation (e.g. amended boundary to include or remove the park) will not affect Islington's consideration of its part of the Neighbourhood Area.
Tessa Craig	Canal and River Trust	17/05/18	Confirms that the Canal and River Trust have no land or waterspace in the Neighbourhood Plan area, therefore have no comments to make	n/a	n/a	General	Noted.
Richard Parish	Historic England	31/05/18	Generally advise that boundaries reflect or encompass the conservation area boundary to help ensure conservation area policies can be applied in a consistent manner. The proposed boundary fully encompasses the Stroud Green CA (both Haringey and Islington) and the Tollington Park CA (Islington) and has been clearly drawn to follow existing boundaries. The proposed area also includes the Finsbury Park, a Grade II Registered Park and Garden. Given that one of the principle motivations of the plan is to address cross borough boundary issues, the inclusion of these heritage assets in their entirety is beneficial. In the event of developing the neighbourhood plan: the Tollington Park CA is currently identified as 'at risk' on the annual Register of Designated Heritage Assets at Risk. Islington has one of the highest number of conservation areas in London identified as 'at risk' and the current Tollington Park Design Guidelines 2002 would benefit from review. Haringey does not appear to have an up to date and adopted conservation area appraisal for Stroud Green CA. The neighbourhood plan process could improve understanding of the historic environment and identify opportunities to enhance it. There may also be opportunities to work with the local authorities to address those issues contributing to at risk heritage assets and update the evidence bases. The extent of 'risk' is increasingly used as a performance indicator in environmental assessment and the need for an up to date evidence base and a positive strategy for the conservation of the historic environment is key as set out in policy 126 of the NPPF. The proposed area also includes listed heritage assets including three Grade II listed churches, Woodstock Road School, Grade II* listed former Rainbow Theatre as well as a number of residential and commercial properties. The area also falls in an area of the protected viewing corridor from Alexandra Palace to St Paul's Cathedral. Planning officers should be the first point of call for heritage advice but Historic England can also provide advice.	n/a	n/a	General	Noted.
Claire Robinson	Individual/resident	04/06/18	Has been a resident for 18 years and fully supports the application. The intersection of three boroughs, TfL and Network Rail at the core of the area has led to a lack of coherence which a neighbourhood plan would help to address.	x area and forum		General	Support is noted
Cecil George	Openreach	08/06/18	Openreach records indicate that no apparatus exists within the area of proposed works. A site survey has not been carried out at this stage, and therefore if any Openreach apparatus is affected by your works, contact should be made to provide the necessary Estimate of Costs for alteration/diversion. The Openreach network is enhanced on a daily basis. Therefore, to make certain no apparatus exists, it is recommended on site advice is obtained.	n/a	n/a	General	Noted.

Anna Gavriel	Deloitte	14/06/18	Respondent would like to be kept informed of the next stages of the Finsbury Park and Stroud Green Neighbourhood Plan	n/a	n/a	General	Noted.
Fatime Moreno Viera	Transport for London (strategic arm)	21/06/18	<p>Views from strategic transport perspective - not property arm.</p> <p>Proposed area - TfL has no specific comments to make on the proposed area; however, it is noted that TfL has a number of assets and landholdings within this area. These include parts of the Transport for London Road Network (TLRN), London Underground infrastructure and bus stops. Although not immediately within the area, part of one of the six new cycle routes announced by the Mayor in January 2018, includes a proposed cycle route from Camden to Tottenham Hale.</p> <p>Consultation - TfL would wish to be involved in the neighbourhood planning process when it impacts or could affect our transport operations and infrastructure or when significant transport policy issues are raised. We would therefore be grateful if you could continue to consult us as neighbourhood planning for Finsbury Park and Stroud Green is progressed. In addition TfL may have property interests in the neighbourhood area and thus we should be involved separately in this circumstance by contacting <a href="mailto:propertyconsultation@tfl.gov.uk">propertyconsultation@tfl.gov.uk</a></p>	n/a	n/a	General	Noted.
Cllr Barbara Blake	Labour Councillor Seven Sisters Ward	21/06/18	Residents who live on Hermitage, Eade and Vale Road and indeed other surrounding roads which are not included in the proposed forum are expressing concern about the appropriateness of the boundary. Finsbury Park is their local park and they are/will be affected by the decisions made in the future without necessarily having a voice. Residents are concerned that there will not be the avenue for the consultation on issues which may affect them. The Neighbourhood Area appears to cover mainly Finsbury Park – could it be clarified what other areas it will cover. Will there be a budget for the forum. Where will this come from and how much will it be?		x for area	Haringey	Representation to be considered by LB Haringey. The outcome of LB Haringey's consideration of this representation (e.g. amended boundary to include or remove the park) will not affect Islington's consideration of its part of the Neighbourhood Area.

Sarah Barron	NHS	22/06/18	<p>We welcome the designation of Finsbury Park and Stroud Green as a Neighbourhood Area as the opportunity to identify community assets and to reuse or share premises. We also believe it has the potential to improve the local environment and make a positive contribution to health and wellbeing. It is also hoped it will improve transport and connectivity which in turn could improve the physical access to local services, including healthcare services. We also appreciate the opportunity to explore cross boundary issues across Hackney, Haringey and Islington and it is hoped that the final plan will help prioritise local projects and help with the allocation of neighbourhood Community Infrastructure Levy across three boroughs, which could include improvements to GP premises to provide additional capacity, where needed. However, it is important for us to stress that healthcare is commissioned by borough of GP practice registration. Therefore whilst we welcome joint working to ensure integrated services for residents, we need to be mindful about how we work across borough boundaries and the funding flows that this entails. We also feel that the Forum priorities and subsequent plan should take into account the impact of the Woodberry Down regeneration programme which adjoins the area. The Boundary Study comments on the fact that there are omissions to the health services on the map on P23. Therefore, we would like to offer to work with those compiling the application to ensure that the healthcare facilities shown are accurate.</p>	x forum and area		General	Noted.
Tristan Appleby	Individual/resident	24/06/18	<p>Respondent strongly objects to the inclusion of Finsbury Park itself within the proposed Neighbourhood Area boundary. The park is used by a number of local neighbourhoods which share this amenity equally, therefore it would be unacceptable for residents in one of these areas to gain a significantly greater degree of control over it. The proposed Neighbourhood Forum's own consultation appears to be thorough when defining which residential streets to include according to the wishes of residents asked. However, the inclusion of the park does not seem to have been subject to the same level of diligence with strong objections from Haringey residents appearing to be disregarded. The inclusion of the park seems to be done at the behest of the Friends of Finsbury Park who were apparently unsure about supporting the proposal unless the whole park was included. There do not appear to be any existing administrative or physical boundaries which justify the attachment of any part of the park exclusively to the Stroud Green area. There are a number of existing mechanisms which afford protection to the interests of residents and park users. This includes an active Stakeholder Group which includes representation on behalf of local residents (in the form of local councillors, resident associations and the Friends of Finsbury Park) which a Neighbourhood Forum would presumably also be invited to join.</p>		x for area	Haringey	Representation to be considered by LB Haringey. The outcome of LB Haringey's consideration of this representation (e.g. amended boundary to include or remove the park) will not affect Islington's consideration of its part of the Neighbourhood Area.